

✓ see consequences  
of policy on Threat...

---

(e.g. "promotion"  
of use...)

✓ ~~positive~~, strong  
about, with CA  
encouraging prolif  
impact of  
promoting prolif

✓

We have been "close"  
to use word: US-initiated

when (def. of close)  
we were committed  
& highly likely to carry  
out commitment

to use FC if all with  
a necessary deal  
something

that they were not-willing  
to do, i.e. the S-R

(displays our threats —  
or, without our threats:

## art of Coercion

then, when we passed  
the trigger to an  
another weapons to  
an adversary —

ref?

like (WWII) pretty US  
the ones in Berlin!

Revs. SU-initiated PC

de facto  
MRBLS;

[ In C-II, K passed

trigger to his was to ✓

2) US coercion to win

Calvin; and b) his generals  
were attacked.

US "close" to her was  
when we give decision  
(or an EU) to a poorly-  
instructed advisory, who  
has already shown  
readiness to take  
significant, pass. rash,  
ill-considered or calculated  
risks (despite threats)  
— and who may not be  
in total control, or  
self-control  
(N in 1973!)  
(CBJ in '65?)

Our leaders (all)  
- like others have  
taken unconscionable  
risks - conscious  
and/or unknowing  
of danger + consequences  
and unconscionable  
sure-things (crimes)

\* Crystallized of honorable,  
realistic perceptions of  
substantives, ends, priorities  
- together the thought  
process, results -  
the judgments of  
morality, wisdom, "sensitivity"



is not to be trusted:

hyp. - when decision-  
making is swift  
(+ small group  
know)

"Never change anything" ✓

... "

regret  
Hyp: a lack of morality:

"Anything we have  
violated (or done)  
if "low enough" (know)  
to avoid a scold - (know)

greater Evil (e.g.

a higher form of a lesser Evil)  
"no Absolute" Evil

Book

I study things

(vs. "exchange")

vs. "disproportion" of  
governments, goods...

- attention, consequence

People like things,

potentially "costless":

<sup>we should</sup>  
but are risky

(valuing efforts on  
our hands, politics

(Dad)

Issues: Address

The Costs and Rights  
(+ Benefits) of Threatening  
(and, possessing)  
requiring  
purposing  
enhancing credibility

How? How much would?

For what/against whom/  
in what circumstances/ to what  
end/ by whom...

"Art of Coercion"

opportunities (efforts and)  
costs (e.g. on leadership  
towards abolition)



actual profits sought

(don't pol

MIC profits....

[uses of arms...]

LIST

---

Rec Def

---

Hypocrisy

Dual Standards

Contrast for Korea in War

3-3-9

Was ever an Urgent  
Cause fought & mainly  
just Means?

Who ever thought of  
strangers as fighting  
is an Urgent Cause?

They didn't recognize  
the concept — but  
if they had?

Carl Schmitt

SOVEREIGN  
Sovereign is he  
who defines the  
state of exception

Carl Schmitt

Watching Wonty with  
Barbar —

War, massacre,  
Torture

is the for norm,  
ordering...

It is for me/one to  
decide on the exception  
[to the rules: Any freedom]

Does War as Crimes

Learn "aware"?

Coc. Torture

Annals note for  
terrorists, etc.

(Blockade in WWI?

~~Seize overboard?~~

Dughis Khan have  
Terrorism } necessary?

← What did the Romans

do? See Nelson doctrine!  
(did it work?)

CRY NAVOC

CI

Persians?

Armenians? (Semen?)

Alexander?

Charles "CI" - under  
did it work, before  
not? Was French &  
"serious"?

Brits in Am Rev?

Baby "

Rises and  
"jumps for threatening" 4

[ See How public  
on Nazi linkages -  
"to be a Hunt

Pain "

How will we explain  
an, superstition?

[ JFK wanted a landslide  
in '64 - for some reason  
as CBJ's (fucking to me)